## REMARKS

Claims 1, 3 and 5 - 10 are now in the case. Claims 1 and 10 are independent claims. Claims 3 and 5 - 9 depend from Claim 1.

Applicant notes with appreciation the indication by the Examiner that Claims 2 - 4, 6 - 7 and 9 were directed to allowable subject matter.

Claim 1 has been rewritten to incorporate the structural limitations of Claim 2. Amended Claim 1 is believed to not only patentably define over the art of record but also is definite and particularly points out and distinctly claims the subject matter which applicants regard as their invention. The claim recites that the adhesive on the back surface of the front envelope panel portion adhesively secures the front envelope panel portion to the individual's clothing. This feature is clearly described in the specification and shown in Figs. 8 and 9. Adhesive securement of the front envelope panel portion prevents the information sheet from being dislodged.

Claim 3 depends from amended Claim 1 and itself has been amended to delete the language considered confusing by the Examiner.

Each of Claims 5 - 9 depends from amended Claim 1 and incorporates by reference the subject matter thereof. Such dependent claims are believed to be clearly allowable.

Claim 10 is a rewriting in independent form of Claim 4, incorporating the structural limitation of Claim 4, now canceled, and Claim 1 as originally submitted. The reference to the "supplemental sheet" has been deleted and the front envelope panel portion has been recited.

It is believed that all claims now in the case are clearly allowable and that this application is in condition for allowance. Such action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

By:

Thomas R. Lampe, Reg. No. 22,454

BIELEN, LAMPE & THOEMING

1390 Willow Pass Road, Suite 1020

Concord, CA 94520 (925) 288-9720